Electromagnetic streaming instabilities of magnetized accretion disks with strong collisional coupling of species

A. K. Nekrasov

Institute of Physics of the Earth, Russian Academy of Sciences, 123995 Moscow, Russia

e-mail: anatoli.nekrassov@t-online.de

arXiv:0903.3011v1 [astro-ph.GA] 17 Mar 2009

Abstract. Electromagnetic streaming instabilities of multicomponent collisional magnetized accretion disks are studied. Sufficiently ionized regions of the disk are explored where there is strong collisional coupling of neutral atoms with both ions and dust grains simultaneously. The steady state is investigated in detail and the azimuthal and radial background velocities of species are calculated. The azimuthal velocity of ions, dust grains, and neutrals is found to be less than the Keplerian velocity. The radial velocity of neutrals and dust grains is shown to be directed inward of the disk. The general solution for the perturbed velocities of species taking into account collisions and thermal pressure is obtained. The effect on the collisional frequencies, due to density perturbations of charged species and neutrals, is included. It is shown that dust grains can be involved in the fast electromagnetic perturbations induced by the ions and electrons through the strong collisions of these grains with neutrals that in turn have a strong collisional coupling with the ions. The dispersion relation for the vertical perturbations is derived and its unstable solutions due to different background velocities of ions and electrons are found. The growth rates of the streaming instabilities considered can be much larger than the Keplerian frequency.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks instabilities, magnetic fields, plasma-waves

1. Introduction

Protostellar and protoplanetary accretion disks are known to contain submicron- and micron-sized solid particles (dust grains) (e.g., Beckwith & Sargent 1996; Isella et al. 2006; Besla & Wu 2007; Quanz et al. 2007; Pinte et al. 2007). The presence of dust grains is common in these and other astrophysical and cosmic objects, such as, molecular and interstellar clouds, planetary and stellar atmospheres, planetary rings, and cometary tails (Spitzer, Jr. 1978; Goertz 1989; Havnes et al. 1996; Wardle & Ng 1999; Rotundi et al. 2000). Dust is contained in various plasmas of both research and technological importance (Merlino & Goree 2004). In space, dust grains vary widely in sizes, from submicrons up to 1 cm and more. Dust particles in both space and the laboratory acquire an electric charge due to cosmic-ray, radioactive or thermal ionization of the ambient gas, photoionization, absorption of electrons and ions from the background plasma, the presence of electron and ion currents, thermoionic emission, and secondary ionization (Hayashi 1981; Whipple 1981; Meyer-Vernet 1982; Chow et al. 1993; Barkan et al. 1994; Melzer et al. 1994; Mendis & Rosenberg 1994; Thomas et al. 1994; Horányi 1996; Fisher & Duerbeck 1998; Fortov et al. 1998). The polarity of charged grains in space and the laboratory can be negative or positive (Meyer-Vernet 1982; Chow et al. 1993; Mendis & Rosenberg 1994; Horányi 1996; Horányi & Goertz 1990; Havnes et al. 2001; Ellis & Neff 1991). The magnitude of grain charges in the laboratory can be very large (up to a few orders of magnitude of the electron charge). The polarity of charged grains depends on their size; large grains are usually negative and small grains positive (e.g., Chow et al. 1993; Mendis & Rosenberg 1994).

The dynamics of dust grains in protostellar and protoplanetary accretion disks determines the physical processes leading to the formation of planets. It is generally accepted that small solids (1 cm - 1 m) can be formed due to chaotic motion and sticking of submicron- and micron-sized dust grains strongly collision coupled to the surrounding gas. These small solids in turn can form the kilometer-sized bodies (planetesimals), believed to be the building blocks for planet formation.

Various physical mechanisms have been discussed for the formation of planetesimals, such as, collisional coagulation (Adachi et al. 1976; Cuzzi et al. 1993; Weidenschilling 1995), gravitational instability (Safronov 1969; Goldreich & Ward 1973; Yamoto & Sekiya 2004), and the trapping of particles by large-scale persistent vortices (Barge & Sommeria 1995; Lovelace et al. 1999; Klahr & Bodenheimer 2003; Johansen et al. 2004; Petersen et al. 2007).

Recently the streaming instability arising from the difference between velocities of dust grains and gas has been suggested as a possible source contributing to planetesimal formation (Youdin & Goodman 2005). These authors have considered the hydrodynamic instability of the interpenetrating streams of dust grains and gas coupling via drag forces in the Keplerian disk. The particle density perturbations generated by this instability could seed planetesimal formation without self-gravity.

In the papers cited above, which deal with the dynamics of dust grains in accretion disks in connection with the problem of dust coagulation and planet formation, hydrodynamic models were applied in which the dust grains were considered as a neutral fluid or ensemble of individual particles interacting with the surrounding neutral gas. Thus, electrodynamic processes were not involved. However, dust grains are generally charged (see references cited above). In the surface layers and near the star, the protostellar and protoplanetary accretion disks are multicomponent, containing electrons, ions, charged grains, and neutral gas. In the sufficiently dense inner regions, the disk matter likely contains only charged dust grains and gas (e.g., Wardle & Ng 1999). Dust grains can be of various forms. It is clear that electromagnetic forces could play an important role in dust coagulation and in collective processes within the disk involving the dust grains. The electromagnetic turbulence favors the coalescence of charged dust grains. The collisional coagulation due to electrostatic interaction of dust grains can lead to the formation of fractal aggregate structures (Matthews et al. 2007). These structures could build larger-sized solid particles in the process of planet formation.

The necessity of taking into account electromagnetic phenomena in the disk dynamics is confirmed by observations, which show that astrophysical disks are turbulent (Hartmann 2000; Carr et al. 2004; Hersant et al. 2005). It is also known that accretion disks are threaded by the magnetic field (Hutawarakorn & Cohen 1999 and 2005; Donati et al. 2005). In the latter, a direct detection of the magnetic field in the protostellar accretion disk FU Orionis, including the innermost and densest parts of the disk, is reported. The surface magnetic field was observed to reach strengths of about 1 kG close to the center of the disk and several hundred gauss in its innermost regions. The magnetic field is grossly axisymmetric and has both vertical and significant azimuthal components. The results of Donati et al. also suggest that magnetic fields in accretion disks could trigger turbulent instabilities that would produce enhanced radial accretion and drifts of ionized plasma through transverse field lines.

The electromagnetic dynamics of accretion disks is determined by physical parameters, such as, the magnetic field, density, temperature, the ionization degree, composition, and mass and size of charged dust grains, all of which vary significantly through the whole disk. So these would determine the disk dynamics on a local scale. The protostellar and protoplanetary accretion disks as well as cold molecular and interstellar clouds are weakly ionized (Wardle & Ng 1999; Norman & Heyvaerts 1985; Jin 1996; Bergin et al. 1999; Balbus

& Terquem 2001; Desch 2004; Tscharnuter & Gail 2007). Dust grains are, possibly, the primary charge carriers in very dense, cool nebulae (Wardle & Ng 1999; Blaes & Balbus 1994).

One of the sources of electromagnetic turbulence in accretion disks may be electromagnetic streaming instabilities emerging due to the different velocities of species in equilibrium. We have studied this issue (Nekrasov 2007) for cold magnetized disks. The dust grains were considered to be magnetized and strong collisional coupling of neutrals with one of the charged species could be included. The thermal pressure was not taken into account. New compressible instabilities were found, with growth rates much larger than the Keplerian frequency. In a subsequent paper (Nekrasov 2008), the general theory for electromagnetic streaming instabilities in multicomponent weakly ionized regions of accretion disks embedded in the magnetic field has been developed. The compressibility, anisotropic thermal pressure, and collisions of charged species with neutrals were taken into account. The equilibrium state was found for the case in which the ions do not influence the motion of neutrals. However, the neutrals are assumed to have weak or strong collisional coupling with dust grains. In the perturbed state, the neutrals have been considered as immobile when the perturbation frequency is much larger than the collisional frequency of neutrals with charged species. A dispersion relation has been derived in the most general form for an arbitrary direction of the perturbation wave vector and for arbitrary strength of thermal effects. In particular, we found solutions of the dispersion relation for a case appropriate to definite regions of the protostellar and protoplanetary disks, where the electrons can be considered as thermal and magnetized, and ions and dust grains as cold and unmagnetized. These solutions describe new instabilities of the weakly ionized disks due to collisions and differences between the stationary velocities of different charged species.

In the present paper, we study the electromagnetic streaming instabilities in the sufficiently ionized regions of the protostellar and protoplanetary magnetized accretion disks, where the neutrals have strong collisional coupling simultaneously with ions and dust grains both in the equilibrium and in the perturbed state. Dust grains and light charged components are respectively unmagnetized and magnetized, i.e., their cyclotron frequencies are respectively much smaller or much larger than their orbiting frequencies. The dust grains are treated as monosized with a constant charge. For the collisional regime under consideration, we find the azimuthal and radial stationary velocities of species. We consider the effect of the change in collisional frequencies due to density perturbations of species. Taking into account anisotropic thermal pressure, we derive the general expression for the perturbed velocity of any species that contains also the perturbed velocity of other species due to collisions. In the cold limit for horizontally elongated perturbations, we obtain the general solutions for the perturbed velocities of ions and dust grains incorporating their mutual influence on each other via collisions with neutrals. Further, we consider fast processes in which the electromagnetic dynamics of dust grains is determined by collisions with neutrals but not by their own motional frequencies. Finally, we investigate unstable perturbations due to different azimuthal velocities of ions and electrons.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2 the basic equations are given. In § 3 we discuss in detail the collisional equilibrium state. The general solutions for the perturbed velocities and densities of species including thermal effects are obtained in § 4. The perturbed velocities of species for vertical perturbations in the cold limit are considered in § 5. Solutions for the perturbed velocities of species when the dynamics of dust grains is determined by collisions with neutrals are given in § 6. In § 7 we calculate the perturbed electric current. The dispersion relation in the general case is derived in § 8. The dispersion relation in the case of strong collisional coupling of dust grains with ions through collisions with neutrals is considered in § 9. Its unstable solutions are found in § 10. Discussion of the obtained results is given in § 11. The main points of the paper are summarized in § 12.

2. Fundamentals

The fundamental equations in the inertial (nonrotating) reference frame are the following:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_j = -\nabla U - \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_j}{m_j n_j} + \frac{q_j}{m_j}\mathbf{E} + \frac{q_j}{m_j c}\mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{B} - \nu_{jn}\left(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_n\right), \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_n = -\nabla U - \frac{\nabla P_n}{m_n n_n} - \sum_j \nu_{nj}\left(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_j\right), \tag{2}$$

the momentum equations,

$$\frac{\partial n_{j,n}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot n_{j,n}\mathbf{v}_{j,n} = 0, \tag{3}$$

the continuity equation,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{j}, \tag{5}$$

and Maxwell's equations, where $\mathbf{j} = \sum_j q_j n_j \mathbf{v}_j$. Here the index $j = e, i, d$ denotes the electrons, ions, and dust grains, respectively, and the index n denotes the neutrals. In Eqs. (1)-(5) q_j and $m_{j,n}$ are the charge and mass of species j and neutrals, $\mathbf{v}_{j,n}$ is the hydrodynamic velocity, $n_{j,n}$ is the number density, $\mathbf{P}_j$ and P_n are the thermal pressure tensor of charged species j and the thermal pressure of neutrals, respectively, $\nu_{jn} = \gamma_{jn} m_n n_n$ ($\nu_{nj} = \gamma_{jn} m_j n_j$) is the collisional frequency of charged species (neutrals) with neutrals (charged species), where $\gamma_{jn} =< \sigma v >_{jn} /(m_j + m_n)$ ($< \sigma v >_{jn}$is the rate coefficient for momentum transfer), $U = -GM/R$ is the gravitational potential of the central object having mass M, $R = (r^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$, G is the gravitational constant, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. We consider wave processes with typical time scales much larger than the time the light spends to cover the wavelength of perturbations. In this case one can neglect the displacement current in Eq. (5), what results in quasineutrality both in electromagnetic and purely electrostatic perturbations. We use the cylindrical coordinate system (r, θ, z), where r is the distance from the symmetry axis and z is the coordinate from the midplane of the disk. The magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ includes the external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{0ext}$ of the central object and/or interstellar medium, the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_{0cur}$ of the background current, and the perturbed magnetic field. The pressure tensor $\mathbf{P}_j$ has the form $\mathbf{P}_j{=}p_{\perp j}\mathbf{I}{+}(p_{||j} - p_{\perp j})\mathbf{bb}$, where $p_{\perp j}$ and $p_{||j}$ are the pressure across ($\perp$) and along ($||$) the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{I}$ is the unit tensor, and $\mathbf{b}$ is the unit vector along $\mathbf{B}$.

Equations (1) and (2) take into account only the collisions between charged species and neutrals. In the weakly ionized objects these collisions are dominant. The system of Eqs. (1)-(5) can be applied in the collisionless regime, if we put $\nu_{jn} = \nu_{nj} = 0$, as well as in the regimes of weak and strong collisional coupling of neutrals with charged components. In Eqs. (1)-(3) we do not take into account ionization and recombination processes. We comment on this point in § 11. Self-gravity is not included in the present paper.

3. Equilibrium

We suppose that $\mathbf{B}_{0ext}$ is axisymmetric and $B_{0\theta ext} = 0$. Such a configuration is typical of the magnetic field of the central star and/or of disks threaded by the vertical interstellar magnetic field. We neglect the radial component of the background magnetic field, considering the regions of the disk, where vertical components of both the external magnetic field and the magnetic field induced by the stationary azimuthal current are dominant.

Let us consider axisymmetric stationary flows of species j. We suppose that the vertical stationary velocity v_{j0z} is equal to zero. Then the r and θ components of Eq. (1) in the equilibrium take the form

$$\frac{\partial v_{j0r}^2}{2\partial r} - \frac{v_{j0\theta}^2}{r} = \frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj} v_{j0\theta} - \nu_{jn}^0 (v_{j0r} - v_{n0r}), \tag{6}$$

$$\left(\omega_{cj} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial r v_{j0\theta}}{\partial r}\right) v_{j0r} = -\nu_{jn}^0 (v_{j0\theta} - v_{n0\theta}). \tag{7}$$

Here $\omega_{cj} = q_j B_0/m_j c$ is the cyclotron frequency of species j ($B_0 = B_{0zext} + B_{0zcur}$), ν_{jn}^0 is the collisional frequency in the equilibrium, and

$$F_{j0r} = -m_j \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{n_{j0}} \frac{\partial p_{\perp 0j}}{\partial r} + q_j E_{0r},$$

where E_{0r} is the background electric field.

Equations (6) and (7) determine the stationary velocity $\mathbf{v}_{j0}$ of different charged components due to the action of the electric (radial), magnetic (vertical), and gravitational fields as well as the thermal pressure and collisions with neutrals. Due to the latter effect the radial velocity emerges [Eq. (7)]. Solving Eqs. (6) and (7), we will consider two cases in which there are magnetized, $\omega_{cj} v_{j0\theta} \gg v_{j0r,\theta}^2/r$, and unmagnetized, $\omega_{cj} v_{j0\theta} \ll v_{j0r,\theta}^2/r$, charged species. The condition $\omega_{cj} \gg (\ll) v_{j0\theta}/r$ denotes that the cyclotron frequency is much larger (smaller) than the orbital frequency [we use below, as usual, the term "magnetized" ("unmagnetized") also in the case in which $\omega_{cj} \gg (\ll) \nu_{jn}^0$].

For magnetized species we have the following solutions of Eqs. (6) and (7):

$$v_{j0\theta} = -\frac{\omega_{cj}}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} \frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \frac{\nu_{jn}^2}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} v_{n0\theta} - \frac{\omega_{cj}\nu_{jn}}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} v_{n0r}, \tag{8}$$

$$v_{j0r} = \frac{\nu_{jn}}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} \frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \frac{\omega_{cj}\nu_{jn}}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} v_{n0\theta} + \frac{\nu_{jn}^2}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2} v_{n0r}. \tag{9}$$

Here, for simplicity, the index 0 at ν_{jn}^0 has been omitted.

We will consider the case in which simultaneous collisions of neutrals with two charged components are important. We suppose that one of these components is the heavy unmagnetized dust grains d and another component, which will be denoted by the index j, represents the ions or the light magnetized dust grains (the latter case is appropriate for systems containing only two dust components). Due to the small electron mass the collisions of neutrals with electrons are neglected. Below in this section, the corresponding condition is given. Then, the equation of motion (2) for neutrals takes the form

$$\frac{\partial v_{n0r}^2}{2\partial r} - \frac{v_{n0\theta}^2}{r} = \frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n} - \nu_{nj}(v_{n0r} - v_{j0r}) - \nu_{nd}(v_{n0r} - v_{d0r}), \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r v_{n0\theta}}{\partial r} v_{n0r} = -\nu_{nj}(v_{n0\theta} - v_{j0\theta}) - \nu_{nd}(v_{n0\theta} - v_{d0\theta}). \tag{11}$$

Here $\nu_{nj,d} = \nu_{nj,d}^0$. For unmagnetized dust grains Eqs. (6) and (7) have the form

$$\frac{\partial v_{d0r}^2}{2\partial r} - \frac{v_{d0\theta}^2}{r} = \frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d} - \nu_{dn}(v_{d0r} - v_{n0r}), \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial r v_{d0\theta}}{\partial r} v_{d0r} = -\nu_{dn}(v_{d0\theta} - v_{n0\theta}). \tag{13}$$

To solve the system (10)-(13) we adopt, for simplicity, that $v_{n,d0\theta} \sim r^{-\alpha_1}$ and $v_{n,d0r} \sim r^{-\alpha_2}$. Then we can substitute the derivatives in Eqs. (10)-(13) by the algebraic terms. We find further the expressions for $v_{n0r} - v_{j0r}$ and $v_{n0\theta} - v_{j0\theta}$, using Eqs. (8) and (9), and substitute them in Eqs. (10) and (11). From Eqs. (11) and (13) we can find the radial velocities v_{n0r} and v_{d0r}:

$$v_{n0r} = -\frac{r}{a_j}\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\omega_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}}\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j v_{n0\theta}} + \omega_{cj}\right) - \frac{\nu^*_{nd} r}{a_j}\left(1 - x\right), \tag{14}$$

$$v_{d0r} = -\nu^*_{dn} r (1 - \frac{1}{x}), \tag{15}$$

where $x = v_{d0\theta}/v_{n0\theta}$ and the index $*$ denotes the modified collisional frequencies $\nu^*_{nj,d} = \nu_{nj,d}/(1 - \alpha_1)$ and $\nu^*_{dn} = \nu_{dn}/(1 - \alpha_1)$. The parameter a_j in Eq. (14) is

$$a_j = 1 + b_j = 1 + \frac{r}{v_{n0\theta}}\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\nu_{jn}\omega_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}}. \tag{16}$$

After substitution of expressions (14) and (15) into Eqs. (10) and (12) we obtain two equations containing only the azimuthal velocities of neutrals and dust grains (except the terms proportional to α_2):

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{v^2_{n0\theta}}{r} = \alpha_2\frac{v^2_{n0r}}{r} + \frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n} + \frac{\nu_{nj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}}\left[\nu_{jn} + \left(\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\omega^2_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}} + \nu^*_{nd}\right)\frac{\omega_{cj} r}{a_j v_{n0\theta}}\right]\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj} v_{n0\theta}\right) \\ + \nu_{nd} r\left(1 - x\right)\left[\frac{\nu^*_{dn}}{x} + \frac{1}{a_j}\left(\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\omega^2_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}} + \nu^*_{nd}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$-\frac{v^2_{d0\theta}}{r} = \alpha_2\frac{v^2_{d0r}}{r} + \frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d} - \frac{r}{a_j v_{n0\theta}}\frac{\nu_{dn}\nu^*_{nj}\omega_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}}\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj} v_{n0\theta}\right) - \nu_{dn} r\left(1 - x\right)\left(\frac{\nu^*_{dn}}{x} + \frac{\nu^*_{nd}}{a_j}\right). \tag{18}$$

For simplicity, we have retained in these equations the radial velocities in terms proportional to α_2. Multiplying Eq. (17) by x^2 and equating it to Eq. (18), we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2\frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n} - \frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d} + \frac{\nu_{nj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}}\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj} v_{n0\theta}\right)\left[x^2\nu_{jn} + \left(x^2\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\omega^2_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}} + x^2\nu^*_{nd} + \nu^*_{dn}\right)\frac{\omega_{cj} r}{a_j v_{n0\theta}}\right] \\ + r\left(1 - x\right)\left[x\nu_{nd}\nu^*_{dn} + \frac{\nu^*_{nd}\nu_{dn}}{a_j} + \frac{\nu_{dn}\nu^*_{dn}}{x} + x^2\frac{\nu_{nd}}{a_j}\left(\frac{\nu^*_{nj}\omega^2_{cj}}{\omega^2_{cj} + \nu^2_{jn}} + \nu^*_{nd}\right)\right] - \alpha_2\frac{v^2_{d0r}}{r} + x^2\alpha_2\frac{v^2_{n0r}}{r} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In the paper (Nekrasov 2008), we have considered the case of the weak collisional coupling of neutrals with ions in the steady state, which is appropriate for weakly ionized disks. The parameter b_j [see Eq. (16)] for ions has been supposed to be much less than unity, in which case $a_i \simeq 1$. This condition can be satisfied for magnetized, $\omega_{ci} \gg \nu_{in}$, or unmagnetized, $\omega_{ci} \ll \nu_{in}$, ions, if the orbital (Keplerian) frequency of neutrals $v_{n0\theta}/r$ is less than the collisional frequency of neutrals with ions ν_{ni}. In the case $\omega_{ci} \sim \nu_{in}$ it should be $\nu_{ni} \ll v_{n0\theta}/r$. In the present paper, we will consider the opposite case in which $b_j \gg 1$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\nu_{jn}\omega_{cj}}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2}\nu_{nj}^* \gg \frac{v_{n0\theta}}{r}. \tag{20}$$

Thus, we explore here the regions of the disk, where the medium is sufficiently ionized and where a strong collisional coupling between neutrals and species j (ions or light dust grains) takes place.

We can find the analytical solution of Eq. (19) in the case in which the azimuthal velocities of neutrals and dust grains are close to one another, i.e.,

$$x = 1 + \delta, \delta \ll 1.$$

This condition is easily satisfied in disks, where the collisional coupling of dust grains and neutrals is strong (see below). We neglect further the contribution of terms proportional to δ in the first and third terms on the left-hand side of Eq. (19) as well as the contribution of two last terms in this equation. The corresponding conditions, taking into account the inequality (20), have the form

$$\nu^2 \gg \frac{2}{r}\left[\frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n} + \frac{\nu_{nj}+\nu_{nd}}{\nu_{jn}}\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj}v_{n0\theta}\right)\right]; 2\alpha_2\frac{v_{n0r}^2}{r^2},$$

$$\delta\nu^2 \gg \alpha_2\frac{1}{r^2}\left(v_{n0r}^2 - v_{d0r}^2\right), \tag{21}$$

where $\nu^2 \simeq \nu_{dn}^*\left(\nu_{dn} + \nu_{nd}\right)$. We suppose that $b_j\nu_{dn} \gg \nu_{nd}$. This condition is, obviously, satisfied. Conditions (21) imply strong dust-neutrals collisional coupling. Then we obtain from Eq. (19) the following solution for δ:

$$\delta r\nu^2 = \frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n} - \frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d} + \frac{1}{\nu_{jn}}\left(\nu_{nj} + \nu_{nd} + \nu_{dn}\right)\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j} + \omega_{cj}v_{n0\theta}\right). \tag{22}$$

The second equation connecting δ and $v_{n0\theta}$ is obtained from Eq. (18) under conditions (20) and

$$\delta\nu_{dn}\nu_{dn}^* \gg \frac{v_{d0\theta}^2}{r^2} + \alpha_2\frac{v_{d0r}^2}{r^2}. \tag{23}$$

This inequality also implies strong collisional coupling of dust grains with neutrals. Then we have

$$\delta r\nu_{dn}\nu_{dn}^{*}=-\frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d}+\frac{\nu_{dn}}{\nu_{jn}}\left(\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j}+\omega_{cj}v_{n0\theta}\right). \tag{24}$$

We can find from Eqs. (22) and (24) the expressions for $v_{n0\theta}$ and δ. The velocity $v_{n0\theta}$ is equal to

$$-\omega_{cj}v_{n0\theta}=\frac{F_{j0r}}{m_j}+\frac{\nu_{jn}}{\nu_{nj}}\left(\frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n}+\frac{\nu_{nd}}{\nu_{dn}}\frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d}\right). \tag{25}$$

It can be shown that the condition

$$b_j\gg\frac{\omega_{cj}^2}{\omega_{cj}^2+\nu_{jn}^2}\frac{\nu_{nj}}{\nu_{dn}}$$

must be satisfied for the solution (25) to have the present form. It is obvious that this inequality can easily be realized, if $b_j\nu_{dn}\gg\nu_{nj,d}$. The value δ we find from Eqs. (24) and (25),

$$\delta r\nu_{dn}^{*}=-\frac{1}{\nu_{nj}}\left(\frac{F_{n0r}}{m_n}+\frac{\nu_{nj}+\nu_{nd}}{\nu_{dn}}\frac{F_{d0r}}{m_d}\right). \tag{26}$$

The azimuthal velocity of dust grains is equal to

$$v_{d0\theta}=v_{n0\theta}(1+\delta). \tag{27}$$

The radial velocities of neutrals and dust grains are found from Eqs. (12) [or (14)] and (15):

$$v_{n0r}=-\frac{F_{d0r}}{\nu_{dn}m_d}-\delta r\nu_{dn}^{*},$$

$$v_{d0r}=-\delta r\nu_{dn}^{*}. \tag{28}$$

Let us now consider the velocities $v_{j0\theta,r}$. Substituting the velocities $v_{n0\theta,r}$ found above into Eqs. (8) and (9), we obtain $v_{j0\theta}\simeq v_{n0\theta}$ and $v_{j0r}\ll v_{j0\theta},v_{n,d0r}$.

The electron velocities under condition $\omega_{ce}^2\gg\nu_{en}^2$ are the following [see Eqs. (8) and (9)]:

$$v_{e0\theta}=-\frac{F_{e0r}}{m_e\omega_{ce}}+\frac{\nu_{en}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2}v_{n0\theta}-\frac{\nu_{en}}{\omega_{ce}}v_{n0r},$$

$$v_{e0r}=\frac{\nu_{en}}{\omega_{ce}^2}\frac{F_{e0r}}{m_e}+\frac{\nu_{en}}{\omega_{ce}}v_{n0\theta}+\frac{\nu_{en}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2}v_{n0r}. \tag{29}$$

We do not take into account the collisions of neutrals with electrons. It can be shown that the corresponding condition has the form

$$\nu_{ne} \ll \nu_{nj} \frac{\omega_{cj}^2}{\omega_{cj}^2 + \nu_{jn}^2}.$$

We suppose that this inequality is satisfied.

4. Perturbed velocities and densities of species: General solutions

In the present paper, we do not treat perturbations connected with the background pressure gradients. Thus, we exclude from our consideration the drift and internal gravity waves. We take into account the induced reaction of neutrals on the perturbed motion of charged species. This effect is important, if the ionization degree of medium is sufficiently high. We also include the effect of perturbation of the collisional frequencies due to density perturbations of charged species and neutrals. This effect emerges when there are different background velocities of species, as occurs in accretion disks. Then the momentum equations (1) and (2) in the linear approximation take the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{j1}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{j0} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{j1} + \mathbf{v}_{j1} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{j0} = -\frac{\mathbf{P}_{0j}}{m_j n_{j0}^2} \nabla n_{j1} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{q_j}{m_j c} \mathbf{v}_{j0} \times \mathbf{B}_1 + \frac{q_j}{m_j c} \mathbf{v}_{j1} \times \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{C}_{j1}, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{n1}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{n0} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{n1} + \mathbf{v}_{n1} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{n0} = -v_{Tn}^2 \frac{\nabla n_{n1}}{n_{n0}} + \mathbf{C}_{n1}, \quad (31)$$

In these equations, the collisional terms $\mathbf{C}_{j1}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{n1}$ are equal

$$\mathbf{C}_{j1} = -\nu_{jn}^0 \mathbf{v}_{j1} + \mathbf{R}_{j1},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{n1} = -\nu_n^0 \mathbf{v}_{n1} + \mathbf{R}_{n1},$$

where $\nu_{jn}^0 = \gamma_{jn} m_n n_{n0}$, $\nu_n^0 = \sum_j \nu_{nj}^0$, $\nu_{nj}^0 = \gamma_{nj} m_j n_{j0}$. The index 1 denotes the quantities of the first order of magnitude. Here $\mathbf{P}_{0j} = \gamma_{\perp j} p_{\perp 0j} \mathbf{I} + (\gamma_{||j} p_{||0j} - \gamma_{\perp j} p_{\perp 0j}) \mathbf{bb}$, $\gamma_{\perp j} = 2$, $\gamma_{||j} = 3$ for magnetized particles $\omega_{cj} \gg \nu_{jn}$. For charged species we use here the double adiabatic dependence of temperature perturbations on the density perturbations analogous to the double adiabatic law of Chew et al. (1956) for the collisionless plasma. For unmagnetized charged particles, $\omega_{cj} \ll \nu_{jn}$, we have $\mathbf{P}_{0j} = \gamma_j p_{0j} \mathbf{I}$, $\gamma_j = 5/3$. In the case of Boltzmann's distribution of the number density due to movement of particles along the background magnetic field we have $\gamma_{||j} = 1$. The neutrals are also considered as adiabatic.

Then $\nabla P_{n1}/m_n n_{n0} = v_{Tn}^2 \nabla n_{n1}/n_{n0}$, where $v_{Tn}^2 = \gamma_n T_{n0}/m_n$, T_{n0} is the unperturbed temperature of neutrals.

The term $\mathbf{R}_{j1}$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{j1} = \nu_{jn}^0 \left[\mathbf{v}_{n1} + \frac{n_{n1}}{n_{n0}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{n0} - \mathbf{v}_{j0} \right) \right],$$

describes the back reaction of charged components due to influence of the perturbed motion of neutrals and the term $\mathbf{R}_{n1}$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{n1} = \sum_j \nu_{nj}^0 \left[\mathbf{v}_{j1} + \frac{n_{j1}}{n_{j0}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{j0} - \mathbf{v}_{n0} \right) \right],$$

leads to the induced perturbation of the velocity of neutrals. The collisional terms proportional to n_{n1} and n_{j1} take into account the dependence of the collisional frequencies ν_{jn} and ν_{nj} on the density perturbations of species.

In this section, we will consider the general case in which the wave vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_r, m\theta, k_z)$ of perturbations has three components. We provide the normal mode analysis, assume the perturbations to be proportional to $\exp(ik_r r + im\theta + ik_z z - i\omega t)$, and use the local approximation $|\mathbf{k}|r \gg 1$. Then we find the Fourier amplitudes of the density perturbations from the linearized version of the continuity equation (3)

$$\frac{n_{j,n1k}}{n_{j,n0}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{v}_{j,n1k}}{\omega_{j,n}},$$

where $\omega_{j,n} = \omega - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{v}_{j,n0}$, $k = \{\mathbf{k},\omega\}$. Substituting n_{n1} into Eq. (31) and solving this equation in the Fourier representation, we will find the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{n1k}$, whose components and the expression for n_{n1k} are given in the Appendix A.

In the protostellar and protoplanetary disks, the collisions of neutrals with charged species are frequent enough that the condition $\omega_{\nu n} \gg \Omega_n$ is satisfied (for systems with the weak collisions it should be supposed that $\omega_n \gg \Omega_n$). Then, using the equation for n_{n1k} from the Appendix A and the expression for $\mathbf{R}_{n1}$, we obtain

$$D_n \frac{n_{n1k}}{n_{n0}} = i\omega_{\nu n} \sum_j \nu_{nj}^0 \frac{n_{j1k}}{n_{j0}}. \tag{32}$$

In the present paper, we will further neglect the thermal effects of species when considering some specific cases. For neutrals, the necessary condition for this cold limit has the form $\delta_{lmn} \ll 1$, where $l, m = r, \theta, z$. In this case, the perturbed velocity and density of neutrals given in the Appendix A and Eq. (32), correspondingly, will be equal to

$$\mathbf{v}_{n1k} = \frac{i}{\omega_{\nu n}} \mathbf{R}_{n1k} \tag{33}$$

and

$$\frac{n_{n1k}}{n_{n0}} = \frac{i}{\omega_{\nu n}} \sum_j \nu_{nj}^0 \frac{n_{j1k}}{n_{j0}}. \tag{34}$$

Let us substitute the expressions (33) and (34) into $\mathbf{R}_{j1k}$. Then the collisional term $\mathbf{C}_{j1k}$ can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{C}_{j1k} = -\nu_j \mathbf{v}_{j1k} + \mathbf{Q}_{j1k}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\nu_j = \nu_{jn}^0 \left(1 - i\frac{\nu_{nj}^0}{\omega_{\nu n}}\right),$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{j1k} = i\frac{\nu_{jn}^0}{\omega_{\nu n}} \sum_{l \neq j} \nu_{nl}^0 \left[\mathbf{v}_{l1k} + \frac{n_{l1k}}{n_{l0}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{l0} - \mathbf{v}_{j0}\right)\right].$$

Here the index l denotes the charged components. The collisional term $\mathbf{Q}_{j1}$ describes the reaction of the species j on the collisions of neutrals with another charged components.

In the weakly ionized plasma the frequency of collisions of neutrals with charged components can be small compared to the frequency of perturbations, which depends on the disk parameters, $\omega_n \gg \nu_n^0$. In this case, the induced dynamics of neutrals can be neglected, i.e., $\nu_j \approx \nu_{jn}^0$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{j1k} \approx \mathbf{0}$. Such a situation was considered by Nekrasov (2008). In the sufficiently ionized plasma the opposite case can be realized in which $\nu_n^0 \gg \omega_n$. Then the collisional frequency ν_j takes the form

$$\nu_j = \frac{\nu_{jn}^0}{\nu_n^0} \left(\sum_{l \neq j} \nu_{nl}^0 - i\omega_n \frac{\nu_{nj}^0}{\nu_n^0}\right). \tag{36}$$

We see that when there is only one charged component with which the neutrals collide, the inertia of neutrals is added to the inertia of this charged component, i.e., $\nu_j = -i\omega_n(\nu_{jn}^0/\nu_{nj}^0)$. At the same time the value $\mathbf{Q}_{j1} = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, in other respects the plasma stays formally collisionless. However, the presence of other charged components with which neutrals collide with the sufficiently high frequency, $\nu_{nl}^0 \gg \omega_n$, changes the situation dramatically: The plasma stays collisional and the value $\mathbf{Q}_{j1}$ contributes to the momentum equation of species j. Such conditions are realized in the ionized regions of protostellar and protoplanetary disks. This case will be considered in the present paper.

The solution of Eq. (30) with $\mathbf{C}_{j1k}$ defined by Eq. (35) and the expression for n_{j1k} are given in the general form in the Appendix B.

We will also neglect the thermal effects for the charged species. The necessary condition is $\delta_{lmj} \ll 1$, where $l, m = r, \theta, z$. We consider further the case in which the neutrals collide simultaneously with ions and dust grains. As a result, the mutual influence of perturbations of ions and dust grains via perturbations of neutrals arises. The corresponding system of equations describing this process is given in the Appendix C.

5. Perturbed velocities of species in the case $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$: General solutions

We have obtained the exact solutions of the system of equations for $v_{i1r,\theta}$ and $v_{d1r,\theta}$ given in the Appendix C for the horizontally elongated perturbations when $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$. These solutions and the general solutions for $v_{i,d1z}$ are given in the Appendix D.

If we set $\mu_i = \mu_d = 0$ in Eqs. (C.1) and (C.2), i.e., if we neglect the collisions of neutrals with ions and dust grains ($\omega_n \gg \nu_n^0$), then we obtain $D_{i,d} v_{i,d1r} = -H_{i,d1r}$, $D_{i,d} v_{i,d1\theta} = -H_{i,d1\theta}$, and $v_{i,d1z} = (i/\omega_{\nu i,d}) G_{i,d1z}$. In this case, the ions and dust grains move independently from each other under the action of the electromagnetic forces. However, in the case $\omega_n \ll \nu_n^0$ the movement of dust grains depends on the movement of ions, and vice versa (see below).

The general solutions for the perturbed electron velocity in the case $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$ are given in the Appendix E. One can see that the collisions of neutrals with ions and dust grains influence the collisions of electrons with neutrals.

6. Solutions for $\mathbf{v}_{j1k}$ in the case of neglect the own dynamics of dust grains

The own frequencies of dust grains, the plasma and cyclotron frequencies, are very low because of their large mass. Therefore, at the absence of collisions of species with dust grains the latter can stay immobile in the electromagnetic perturbations having sufficiently high frequency. However, for example, through collisions with neutrals, the dust grains can be involved in the fast electromagnetic perturbations induced by the ions and electrons when the ions have also strong collisional coupling with neutrals.

Let us neglect in Eq. (D.3) the terms proportional to ω_{d1} and ω_{d2} under conditions

$$\omega_{\nu d}^2 \gg \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{\nu i}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2}\right) \omega_{d1}\omega_{d2}; \mu_i \mu_d \frac{\omega_{d1,2}}{\omega_{ci}}. \qquad (37)$$

Note, that for frequencies of perturbations much less than the collisional frequencies, $\nu_{i,d} \gg \omega_{i,d}$, these conditions can be written in the form $\nu_d \gg (1 + \nu_i/\omega_{ci})\omega_{d1,2}$. We have taken into account that $\omega_{ci} \gg \Omega_i$. Then the value A will be equal to $A = -\triangle$, where $\triangle = \lambda_\omega^2 + \omega_{\nu d}^2 \omega_{ci}^2$. In the case (37), one can also neglect the action of the electromagnetic forces on the dust grains. Then the expressions (D.1) and (D.2) take the form,

$$v_{i1r} = \frac{\omega_{\nu d}}{\triangle}\left(\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ci}G_{i1\theta} + \lambda_\omega G_{i1r}\right),$$

$$v_{i1\theta} = \frac{\omega_{\nu d}}{\triangle}\left(-\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ci}G_{i1r} + \lambda_\omega G_{i1\theta}\right). \tag{38}$$

For the dust grains we have

$$v_{d1r} = -\frac{\mu_i}{\omega_{\nu d}}v_{i1r} - \frac{\mu_i}{\omega\lambda_\omega}k_z w_r G_{i1z},$$

$$v_{d1\theta} = -\frac{\mu_i}{\omega_{\nu d}}v_{i1\theta} - \frac{\mu_i}{\omega\lambda_\omega}k_z w_\theta G_{i1z}. \tag{39}$$

The vertical velocities v_{i1z} and v_{d1z} are equal in the case under consideration,

$$\lambda_\omega v_{i1z} = \omega_{\nu d}G_{i1z},$$

$$\lambda_\omega v_{d1z} = -\mu_i G_{i1z}. \tag{40}$$

We can find now the perturbed electron velocity. Substituting the velocities $\mathbf{v}_{i,d1}$ defined by Eqs. (38) and (39) in the expressions for the components of the electron velocity given in the Appendix E, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_e v_{e1r} &= -H_{e1r} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\triangle}\left(\lambda_\omega\omega_{\nu e} - i\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}\right)G_{i1r} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\triangle}\left(i\lambda_\omega\omega_{ce} + \omega_{\nu d}\omega_{\nu e}\omega_{ci}\right)G_{i1\theta} \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\omega\lambda_\omega}\varepsilon_{er}k_z G_{i1z}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_e v_{e1\theta} &= -H_{e1\theta} - \frac{\eta_\omega}{\triangle}\left(i\lambda_\omega\omega_{ce} + \omega_{\nu d}\omega_{\nu e}\omega_{ci}\right)G_{i1r} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\triangle}\left(\lambda_\omega\omega_{\nu e} - i\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}\right)G_{i1\theta} \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\omega\lambda_\omega}\varepsilon_{e\theta}k_z G_{i1z}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v_{e1z} = \frac{i}{\omega_{\nu e}}G_{e1z} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\omega_{\nu e}\lambda_\omega}G_{i1z}, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\omega &= \mu_i\mu_{ed} - \omega_{\nu d}\mu_{ei}, \\ \varepsilon_{er} &= \omega_{\nu e}\left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}\right) + i\omega_{ce}\left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta}\right), \\ \varepsilon_{e\theta} &= \omega_{\nu e}\left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta}\right) - i\omega_{ce}\left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

7. Perturbed electric current: General expressions

In this section, we find the perturbed electric current

$$\mathbf{j}_1 = \sum_j q_j n_{j0}\left(\mathbf{v}_{j1} + \frac{k_z \mathbf{v}_{j0}}{\omega} v_{j1z}\right).$$

Using Eqs. (38)-(41), we can write the components of this current in the form

$$\begin{aligned} j_{1r} &= \left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{rr} + W_{zrr}\right]E_{1r} + \left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{r\theta} + W_{zr\theta}\right]E_{1\theta} + W_{zrz}E_{1z}, \\ j_{1\theta} &= \left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{\theta r} + W_{z\theta r}\right]E_{1r} + \left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{\theta\theta} + W_{z\theta\theta}\right]E_{1\theta} + W_{z\theta z}E_{1z}, \\ j_{1z} &= W_{zzr}E_{1r} + W_{zz\theta}E_{1\theta} + W_{zzz}E_{1z}. \end{aligned}$$

The expressions for $W_{rr,\theta}$, $W_{\theta r,\theta}$, $W_{zrr,\theta,z}$, $W_{z\theta r,\theta,z}$, and $W_{zzr,\theta,z}$ in the general form are given in the Appendix F.

8. Dispersion relation in the general form

From Maxwell's equations (4) and (5) we have,

$$n_z^2 E_{1r,\theta} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega} j_{1r,\theta}, 0 = j_{1z}. \tag{42}$$

Using the components of the perturbed electric current given in § 7, we will find from the system (42) the dispersion relation

$$\left(n_z^2\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{rr}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{rz}\varepsilon_{zr}\right)\left(n_z^2\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{\theta\theta}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{\theta z}\varepsilon_{z\theta}\right) - \left(-\varepsilon_{r\theta}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{rz}\varepsilon_{z\theta}\right)\left(-\varepsilon_{\theta r}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{zr}\varepsilon_{\theta z}\right) = 0. \tag{43}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{rr} &= \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}\left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{rr} + W_{zrr}\right], \varepsilon_{r\theta} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}\left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{r\theta} + W_{zr\theta}\right], \varepsilon_{rz} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}W_{zrz}, \\ \varepsilon_{\theta r} &= \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}\left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{\theta r} + W_{z\theta r}\right], \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}\left[\frac{q_i}{m_i\triangle}W_{\theta\theta} + W_{z\theta\theta}\right], \varepsilon_{\theta z} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}W_{z\theta z}, \\ \varepsilon_{zr} &= \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}W_{zzr}, \varepsilon_{z\theta} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}W_{zz\theta}, \varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{4\pi i}{\omega}W_{zzz}. \end{aligned}$$

9. Dispersion relation in the case of the strong collisional coupling of species

We will further consider the case of the strong collisional coupling of neutrals with ions and dust grains and dust grains with neutrals. We also suppose that the collisional frequencies of electrons and ions with neutrals are much larger than the perturbation frequency. Thus, the case under consideration is the following:

$$\nu_{en}^{0} \gg \omega_e, \nu_i^0 \gg \omega_i, \nu_d^0 \gg \omega_d, \min\left\{\nu_{ni}^0, \nu_{nd}^0\right\} \gg \omega_n, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\nu_i^0 = \frac{\nu_{in}^0 \nu_{nd}^0}{\nu_n^0}, \nu_d^0 = \frac{\nu_{dn}^0 \nu_{ni}^0}{\nu_n^0}.$$

The collisional frequencies ν_j [Eq. (36)] are

$$\nu_e = \nu_{en}^0, \nu_i = \nu_i^0 - i\omega_n \frac{\nu_{in}^0 \nu_{ni}^0}{\nu_n^{02}}, \nu_d = \nu_d^0 - i\omega_n \frac{\nu_{dn}^0 \nu_{nd}^0}{\nu_n^{02}}.$$

Here we keep the corrections proportional to ω because the main terms are cancelled when calculating some necessary expressions in the case (44). For example, the value λ_ω has in this case the form

$$\lambda_\omega = \omega\left(\nu_d^0 + \nu_i^0 + \nu^0\right) = \omega a,$$

where $\nu^0 = \nu_{in}^0 \nu_{dn}^0 / \nu_n^0$.

Let us find $W_{rr,\theta}$ and $W_{\theta r,\theta}$ under conditions (44). We will consider, as in the steady state, that the electrons are magnetized, $\omega_{ce}^2 \gg \nu_{en}^{02}$. This condition is generally satisfied. Using the condition of quasineutrality $\sum_j q_j n_{j0} = 0$ and carrying out the calculations, we obtain the following expressions for $W_{rr,\theta}$ and $W_{\theta r,\theta}$:

$$W_{rr} = W_{\theta\theta} = -iq_e n_{e0}\omega\sigma, W_{r\theta} = -W_{\theta r} = iq_e n_{e0}\omega\left(\sigma\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} - \varepsilon_d\right), \tag{45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \nu_d^0 a - \frac{\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}}\nu_d^{02} g, \\ \varepsilon_d &= \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{q_e n_{e0}}\omega_{ci}\nu_d^{02} f. \end{aligned}$$

The values g and f are equal to

$$g = \nu_{en}^0 \frac{\nu_{nd}^0}{\nu_{ni}^0}\left(\frac{1}{\nu_{dn}^0} + \frac{1}{\nu_{nd}^0}\right), f = \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{ni}^0} + \frac{1}{\nu_d^0}\right).$$

The values $s_{r,\theta,zz}$ under conditions (44) and $\omega_{ce}^2 \gg \omega_{\nu e}^2$ are

$$s_{rz} = i\nu_d^0 \left[\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} \left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta} \right) - \left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r} \right) \right] - \omega \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{q_e n_{e0}} \nu_d^0 f v_{i0r},$$

$$s_{\theta z} = i\nu_d^0 \left[-\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} \left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r} \right) - \left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta} \right) \right] - \omega \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{q_e n_{e0}} \nu_d^0 f v_{i0\theta},$$

$$s_{zz} = -\omega \left[(1+g) \frac{\nu_d^0}{\nu_{en}^0} + \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{q_e n_{e0}} \nu_d^0 f \right]. \tag{46}$$

Using the expressions for ε_{ij}, $i,j = r,\theta,z$, given in § 8 and taking into account Eqs. (45), (46), and the expressions for $W_{zrr,\theta,z}$, $W_{z\theta r,\theta,z}$, and $W_{zzr,\theta,z}$ given in the Appendix F, we find the following relations containing in the dispersion relation (43):

$$\varepsilon_{rr}\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{rz}\varepsilon_{zr} = \tau\beta\sigma \left(ib_{zz} - 1 \right) + i\beta^2 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2} \left(b_{zz} v_{e0r} - b_{rz} \right) \left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r} \right),$$

$$-\varepsilon_{\theta r}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{\theta z}\varepsilon_{zr} = -\tau\beta \left(\sigma \frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} - \varepsilon_d \right) \left(ib_{zz} - 1 \right) + i\beta^2 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2} \left(b_{\theta z} - b_{zz} v_{e0\theta} \right) \left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r} \right),$$

$$\varepsilon_{\theta\theta}\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{\theta z}\varepsilon_{z\theta} = \tau\beta\sigma \left(ib_{zz} - 1 \right) + i\beta^2 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2} \left(b_{zz} v_{e0\theta} - b_{\theta z} \right) \left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta} \right),$$

$$-\varepsilon_{r\theta}\varepsilon_{zz} + \varepsilon_{rz}\varepsilon_{z\theta} = \tau\beta \left(\sigma \frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} - \varepsilon_d \right) \left(ib_{zz} - 1 \right) + i\beta^2 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2} \left(b_{rz} - b_{zz} v_{e0r} \right) \left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta} \right), \tag{47}$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\triangle} \frac{\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}}, \beta = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega\omega_{\nu e}}.$$

Substituting the expressions (47) and ε_{zz} in Eq. (43), we obtain the following dispersion relation:

$$\omega^6 \frac{\omega_{pe}^4}{\omega_{ce}^2 \omega_{ci}^2} \left(\sigma_1^2 + \varepsilon_{d1}^2 \right) + 2\omega^4 \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}} \sigma_1 k_z^2 c^2 + \omega^2 \left(k_z^4 c^4 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^4}{\omega_{ce}^2} k_z^2 w_0^2 \right)$$

$$-\frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}} \frac{1}{\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1} \frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} \right)} k_z^4 c^2 w_0^2 + i\omega^3 \frac{\omega_{pe}^4}{\omega_{ce}^2 \omega_{ci}} \frac{\sigma_1 \varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1} \frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} \right)} k_z^2 w_0^2 \tag{48}$$

$$+i\omega \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}} \frac{\varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1} \frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}} \right)} k_z^4 c^2 w_0^2 = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1 &= \frac{a}{\nu_d^0} - \frac{\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}} g, \\
\varepsilon_{d1} &= \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{q_e n_{e0}} \omega_{ci} f, \\
w_0^2 &= \left(v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}\right)^2 + \left(v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta}\right)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (48) the relationship

$$ib_{zz} - 1 = -\frac{\nu_d^0}{a}\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)$$

has been used. Below, we will find the unstable solutions of Eq. (48), the growth rate of which is proportional to w_0.

10. Solutions of the dispersion relation

To solve the dispersion relation (48), we will consider the cases in which the unstable perturbations have the long and short wavelengths.

10.1 Long wavelength instabilities

We neglect the terms proportional to k_z^4 in Eq. (48) under condition

$$\omega^2 \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}} \sigma_1 \gg k_z^2 c^2. \tag{49}$$

Then the dispersion relation takes the form

$$\omega^4 \left(\sigma_1^2 + \varepsilon_{d1}^2\right) - \omega_{ci}^2 k_z^2 w_0^2 + i\omega\omega_{ci} \frac{\sigma_1 \varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)} k_z^2 w_0^2 = 0. \tag{50}$$

For frequencies in the range

$$\omega_{ci} \gg \omega \frac{\sigma_1 \varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1 - \varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}, \tag{51}$$

the unstable solution of Eq. (50) is the following:

$$\omega = i\frac{(\omega_{ci}k_z w_0)^{1/2}}{(\sigma_1^2+\varepsilon_{d1}^2)^{1/4}}. \tag{52}$$

In the case opposite to (51) the instability is caused by the presence of dust grains:

$$\omega = \left[\frac{\sigma_1\varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1-\varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}\frac{\omega_{ci}k_z^2w_0^2}{(\sigma_1^2+\varepsilon_{d1}^2)}\right]^{1/3}\exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{6}+i\frac{2p\pi}{3}\right), \tag{53}$$

where $p = 0, 1, 2$. Note, that the sign of ε_{d1} depends on the sign of q_d.

10.2 Short wavelength instabilities

Now consider the case in which

$$\omega^2\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci}}\left(\sigma_1^2+\varepsilon_{d1}^2\right)^{1/2} \ll k_z^2c^2. \tag{54}$$

Then the equation (48) will be the following:

$$\omega^2 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}}\frac{1}{\left(\sigma_1-\varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}\frac{w_0^2}{c^2} + i\omega\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}}\frac{\varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1-\varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}\frac{w_0^2}{c^2} = 0. \tag{55}$$

For frequencies in the range

$$\omega_{ci} \gg \omega\varepsilon_{d1} \tag{56}$$

we find the following solution of Eq. (55):

$$\omega^2 = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}}\frac{1}{\left(\sigma_1-\varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}\frac{w_0^2}{c^2}. \tag{57}$$

We see that when $\sigma_1 > \varepsilon_{d1}(\nu_{en}^0/\omega_{ce})$ or $\varepsilon_{d1} > 0$ ($q_d < 0$) there is the streaming instability.

In the case opposite to (56),

$$\omega_{ci} \ll \omega\varepsilon_{d1}, \tag{58}$$

we obtain

$$\omega = -i\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}}\frac{\varepsilon_{d1}}{\left(\sigma_1-\varepsilon_{d1}\frac{\nu_{en}^0}{\omega_{ce}}\right)}\frac{w_0^2}{c^2}. \tag{59}$$

This solution describes the instability when $\varepsilon_{d1} > 0$ or $\varepsilon_{d1}(\nu_{en}^0/\omega_{ce}) > \sigma_1$.

11. Discussion

In the equilibrium and perturbation states we have not taken into account the collisions between ions and dust grains, supposing that $\nu_{in} \gg \nu_{id}$ and $\nu_{dn} \gg \nu_{di}$. These inequalities can be written in the form $< \sigma v >_{in} \gg (m_i \rho_d / m_d \rho_n) < \sigma v >_{id}$and $< \sigma v >_{dn} \gg (\rho_i/\rho_n) < \sigma v >_{id}$, where $\rho_{i,d,n} = m_{i,d,n} n_{i,d,n}$ $(m_i \gg m_n)$. Such conditions can be satisfied, if the density of neutrals is sufficiently high.

Now we estimate the stationary velocities of species in the case $\nu_{in} \gg \nu_{ni}$ and $\nu_{dn} \gg \nu_{ni}, \nu_{nd}$. These conditions can be satisfied in the weakly ionized protostellar and protoplanetary disks. Then, neglecting the contribution of the radial electric field under condition $E_{0r}/B_0 \ll (\rho_n/\rho_i)(\Omega_K/\omega_{ci})(v_K/c)$, we obtain from Eq. (25)

$$v_{n0\theta}^0 \simeq \frac{\nu_{in}}{\omega_{ci}} \frac{\Omega_K}{\nu_{ni}} v_K, \tag{60}$$

where v_K and Ω_K are the Keplerian velocity and frequency, correspondingly. Using Eq. (60), we can write the condition of the strong collisional coupling of neutrals with ions (20) in the form

$$\frac{\omega_{ci}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2 + \nu_{in}^2} \nu_{ni}^2 \gg \Omega_K^2. \tag{61}$$

We see from Eqs. (60) and (61) that $v_{n0\theta}^0 \ll v_K$. As long as $v_{d0\theta}^0 \simeq v_{n0\theta}^0$ [see Eq. (27)] and $v_{i0\theta}^0 \simeq v_{n0\theta}^0$, the species rotate in the magnetized regions of the disk with the velocity much smaller than the Keplerian velocity. This result agrees with observations (Donati et al. 2005). From Eqs. (26) and (28) we obtain the radial velocities of neutrals and dust grains under conditions at hand

$$v_{n0r}^0 \simeq v_{d0r}^0 \simeq -\frac{\Omega_K}{\nu_{ni}} v_K. \tag{62}$$

Comparing Eqs. (60) and (62), we have $v_{n,d0\theta}^0 \simeq -(\nu_{in}/\omega_{ci}) v_{n,d0r}^0$. Thus, for the magnetized (unmagnetized) ions, $\omega_{ci} \gg (\ll) \nu_{in}$, the radial velocity of neutrals and dust grains is larger (smaller) than their azimuthal velocity. We see from Eq. (62) that the radial velocity of neutrals and dust grains is directed inward of the disk.

It is followed from Eq. (29) that the azimuthal electron velocity $v_{e0\theta} \ll v_{i0\theta}^0$ and the radial electron velocity $v_{e0r} \simeq (\nu_{en}/\omega_{ce}) v_{n0\theta}^0$.

The conditions (21) and (23) of the strong collisional coupling of dust grains with neutrals can be written in the form

$$\nu_{dn} \gg \Omega_K \left[1 + \frac{\Omega_K}{\nu_{ni}} \left(1 + \frac{\nu_{in}^2}{\omega_{ci}^2} \right) \right]. \tag{63}$$

Let us consider some specific parameters for the protoplanetary disk with the solar mass central star at $r = 1$ AU, where $B_0 \sim 0.1$ G and $\Omega_K \sim 2 \times 10^{-7}$ s^{-1} (e.g., Desch 2004). We take $m_i = 30m_p$ and $m_n = 2.33m_p$, m_p is the proton mass. Then the ion and electron cyclotron frequencies will be equal to $\omega_{ci} \sim 32$ s^{-1} $(q_i = -q_e)$ and $|\omega_{ce}| \sim 1.76 \times 10^6$ s^{-1} (the sign $||$ denotes an absolute value). The rate coefficients for momentum transfer by elastic scattering of ions and electrons with neutrals are $< \sigma\nu >_{in} = 1.9 \times 10^{-9}$ cm^3 s^{-1} and $< \sigma\nu >_{en} = 4.5 \times 10^{-9}(T/30\text{ K})^{1/2}$ cm^3 s^{-1} (Draine et al. 1983). We take $T = 300$ K. Then the condition for neglecting collisions of neutrals with electrons has the form $\omega_{ci}^2/(\omega_{ci}^2+\nu_{in}^2) \gg 1.89 \times 10^{-3}(n_{e0}/n_{i0})$ (see the end of § 3). We will consider the case in which $\nu_{in}^2 \gg \omega_{ci}^2$ and $n_{e0}/n_{i0} \sim 10^{-2}$ (Desch 2004). Then the value ν_{in} must satisfy the condition $\nu_{in} < 7.36 \times 10^3$ s^{-1}. This inequality is satisfied for the neutral mass density $\rho_n < 2.1 \times 10^{-10}$ g cm^{-3} or $n_{n0} < 5.38 \times 10^{13}$ cm^{-3}. We will take the ionization degree as $n_{i0} = 10^{-9}n_{n0}$ (Desch 2004). If we set $\rho_n = 10^{-10}$ g cm^{-3}, then we obtain $\nu_{in} = 3.5 \times 10^3$ s^{-1}, $\nu_{ni} = 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$ s^{-1}, $\nu_{en} = 3.65 \times 10^5$ s^{-1}, $\nu_{ne} = 8.53 \times 10^{-10}$ s^{-1}.

The azimuthal velocity of species using the parameters given above is equal to $v_{i,d,n0\theta}^0 \simeq 0.49v_K$, where $v_K \simeq 30$ km s^{-1} [see Eq. (60)]. The orbiting frequency of dust grains $\Omega_d = 0.49\Omega_K = 0.98 \times 10^{-8}$ s^{-1}. The dust grains will be unmagnetized, $\omega_{cd} \ll \Omega_d$, if their mass $m_d \gg 8 \times 10^{-15}$ g $\simeq 4.8 \times 10^9 m_p$ (for $q_d = \pm q_e$). The dust grains, for example, with density of the material grains are made $\sigma_d \sim 3$ g cm^{-3} and with radius $r_d > 8.6 \times 10^{-2}$ μm satisfy the condition of unmagnetization. At $r_d = 0.1$ μm, the mass of the grain is equal to $m_d \simeq 1.26 \times 10^{-14}$ g. The collisional frequency of dust grains with neutrals has the form $\nu_{dn} \simeq 6.7\rho_n r_d^2 v_{Tn}/m_d$ (e.g., Wardle and Ng 1999). Using parameters given above, we obtain $\nu_{dn} \simeq 0.53$ s^{-1}. For the solar abundance value $\rho_d \simeq 10^{-2}$ ρ_n we have $\nu_{nd} \simeq 0.53 \times 10^{-2}$ s^{-1}.

The radial velocity of neutrals and dust grains is equal to $|v_{d,n0r}| \simeq 133$ m s^{-1} [see Eq. (62)]. This velocity is directed inward. The conditions (61) and (63) are satisfied.

Above, we have considered only one set of disk parameters. Any other parameters can be treated also.

In the case (44) of the strong collisional coupling between ions, neutrals, and dust grains the perturbed velocity of dust grains is of the order of the perturbed velocity of ions, $\mathbf{v}_{d1} \sim \mathbf{v}_{i1}$ [see Eqs. (39) and (40)]. Thus, the dust grains can participate in the fast electromagnetic perturbations generated by the electrons and ions via collisions with neutrals and acquire the large velocities.

Let us now consider the obtained unstable solutions. For conditions used in this section the growth rate $\gamma =$Im ω of the solution (52) can be estimated in the case $\sigma_1 \geq \varepsilon_{d1}$ as

$$\gamma \sim (k_z r)^{1/2}\,\Omega_K. \tag{64}$$

This growth rate is much larger than the Keplerian frequency as long as $k_z r \gg 1$. The wave number k_z must satisfy the condition [see the inequality (49)]

$$k_z r \ll \frac{|q_e| n_{e0}}{q_i n_{i0}} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_i} \frac{v_K^2}{c_{Ai}^2},$$

where $c_{Ai} = (\omega_{ci}/\omega_{pi})c$ is the ion Alfvén velocity. For the parameters given above we have $n_{i0} = 2.56 \times 10^4$ cm^{-3}. In this case $\omega_{pi} \simeq 3.84 \times 10^4$ s^{-1} and, accordingly, $c_{Ai} \simeq 250$ km s^{-1}. So far as $\gamma \ll \nu_{ni}$, we have the second condition: $(k_z r)^{1/2} \ll \nu_{ni}/\Omega_K$. It is interesting to note that the same growth rate also exists in the collisionless regime (Nekrasov 2007). The growth rate (53) is larger than the growth rate defined by Eq. (64). This instability is possible when the density of dust grains is sufficiently large:

$$\frac{\nu_{in}}{\omega_{ci}} \geq \frac{q_d n_{d0}}{|q_e| n_{e0}} \gg \frac{\nu_{ni}}{\Omega_K} (k_z r)^{-1/2}.$$

The short wavelength perturbations considered in section (10.2) have the following growth rates for conditions given above. An estimation for the growth rate (57) is

$$\gamma \sim \left(\frac{|q_e| n_{e0}}{q_i n_{i0}} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_i} \right)^{1/2} \frac{v_K}{c_{Ai}} \Omega_K. \qquad (65)$$

This growth rate is considerably larger than the Keplerian frequency in weakly ionized disks. For the parameters given above we have $\gamma \sim 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ s^{-1}. The condition (54) at $\sigma_1 \geq \varepsilon_{d1}$ is the following:

$$k_z r \gg \frac{|q_e| n_{e0}}{q_i n_{i0}} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_i} \frac{v_K^2}{c_{Ai}^2}.$$

The growth rate (59) is larger than (65) and has the form ($q_d < 0$)

$$\gamma \sim \frac{|q_d| n_{d0}}{q_i n_{i0}} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_i} \frac{\Omega_K^2}{\nu_{ni}} \frac{v_K^2}{c_{Ai}^2}.$$

For this instability the following condition must be satisfied [see the inequality (58)]: $q_d n_{d0}/q_e n_{e0} \gg \nu_{ni}/\gamma \gg 1$.

Thus, in the collisional accretion disks there are possible the streaming instabilities involving the dynamics of neutrals and dust grains with growth rates much larger that the Keplerian frequency.

In the present paper, we did not take into account the ionization and recombination processes in the continuity and momentum equations which, in general, can be included (see, e.g., Pinto et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008). The main sources of ionization of accretion disks are Galactic cosmic rays and X-rays from the corona of the central star. These and other chemical processes can play an important physical role in the evolution of the disk. For example, the dead zones of the protostellar and protoplanetary disks may be enlivened due to turbulent transport of metallic ions, which are charged due to interaction with ionized gas in the surface layers, from the surface layers into these regions (Ilgner and Nelson 2008).

The ionization/recombination processes in the stationary continuity equations for species determine the ionization degree of medium. For the weakly ionized gases with large collisional frequencies, as it is the case for us, the contribution from the ionization source terms to the momentum equations can be ignored (e.g., Li et al. 2008). The chemical processes do not influence the dynamics of medium, for example, in the case of the ideal magnetohydrodynamics. In our case of multicomponent disks with strong collisional coupling of species, when the dynamics of each species is considered separately, the contribution of the ionization source term to the perturbed continuity equation can be neglected under condition $\omega > \xi(n_{n0}/n_{i0})$, where ξ is the ionization rate per H atom. The typical growth rates of the streaming instabilities considered in the present paper is $\gamma \sim 10^{-5}$ s^{-1}. If we take $n_{n0}/n_{i0} \sim 10^{9}$, then we can neglect the ionization for $\xi < 10^{-14}$ s^{-1}. Note, for example, that in the paper (Ilgner and Nelson 2008) the range of $\xi \sim 10^{-15} - 10^{-19}$ s^{-1} is considered. For cosmic rays one obtains $\xi \sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ s^{-1} (e.g., Li et al. 2008). Thus, for not too high ionization rates and fast instabilities studied in the present paper, the ionization/recombination processes can be ignored.

12. Conclusion

In the present paper, the electromagnetic streaming instabilities of multicomponent collisional accretion disks have been studied. We have explored regions of the disk where the medium is sufficiently ionized and there is strong collisional coupling of neutrals with ions and dust grains simultaneously. We have included the effect of perturbation of collisional frequencies due to density perturbations of charged species and neutrals. This effect emerges when there are different background velocities of species, as occurs in accretion disks.

We have investigated in detail the steady state and found the azimuthal and radial velocities of species.

The general solutions for the perturbed velocities of species with collisional and thermal effects have been obtained. We have shown that dust grains can be involved in the fast electromagnetic perturbations induced by ions and electrons, through strong collisions with neutrals which have also strong collisional coupling with ions. The dust grains have been found to acquire the perturbed velocity of ions. This effect is important for their collisional coagulation and sticking.

We have derived the dispersion relation for the vertical perturbations and found the unstable solutions due to different background velocities of electrons and ions. It has been shown that the growth rates of these streaming instabilities can be much larger than the Keplerian frequency.

Electromagnetic streaming instabilities, with induced dynamics of neutrals can be a source of turbulence in sufficiently ionized regions of collisional accretion disks.

Acknowledgments

I thank the anonymous referee for his/her constructive comments.

APPENDIX A

Solutions for $\mathbf{v}_{n1k}$ and n_{n1k}

The Fourier components $\mathbf{v}_{n1k}$ and n_{n1k} are the following (for simplicity, the index k is omitted here and below):

$$\begin{aligned} D_n v_{n1r} &= iR_{n1r}\omega_{\nu n}\left(1-\delta_{\theta\theta n}-\delta_{\|n}\right)+iR_{n1\theta}\left[\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{r\theta n}+i2\Omega_n\left(1-\delta_{\|n}\right)\right] \\ &\quad +iR_{n1z}\left(\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{rzn}+i2\Omega_n\delta_{\theta zn}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_n v_{n1\theta} &= iR_{n1r}\left[\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{r\theta n}-i\frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n}\left(1-\delta_{\|n}\right)\right]+iR_{n1\theta}\omega_{\nu n}\left(1-\delta_{rrn}-\delta_{\|n}\right) \\ &\quad +iR_{n1z}\left(\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{\theta zn}-i\frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n}\delta_{rzn}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_n v_{n1z} &= iR_{n1r}\left(\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{rzn}-i\frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n}\delta_{\theta zn}\right)+iR_{n1\theta}\left(\omega_{\nu n}\delta_{\theta zn}+i2\Omega_n\delta_{rzn}\right) \\ &\quad +iR_{n1z}\left[\omega_{\nu n}\left(1-\delta_{\perp n}\right)-\frac{\kappa_n^2}{\omega_{\nu n}}-i\left(2\Omega_n-\frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n}\right)\delta_{r\theta n}\right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{\nu n}}D_n\frac{n_{n1}}{n_{n0}}=iR_{n1r}\left(k_r-i\frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n\omega_{\nu n}}k_\theta\right)+iR_{n1\theta}\left(k_\theta+i\frac{2\Omega_n}{\omega_{\nu n}}k_r\right)+iR_{n1z}\left(k_z-\frac{\kappa_n^2}{\omega_{\nu n}^2}k_z\right).$$

The following notations are introduced here:

$$D_n = \omega_{\nu n}^2 (1-\delta_n) - \kappa_n^2 \left(1-\delta_{||n}\right) - i\omega_{\nu n}\left(2\Omega_n - \frac{\kappa_n^2}{2\Omega_n}\right)\delta_{r\theta n},$$

$$\omega_{\nu n} = \omega_n + i\nu_n^0, \omega_n = \omega - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{v}_{n0},$$

$$\Omega_n = \frac{v_{n0\theta}}{r}, \kappa_n^2 = (2\Omega_n/r)\partial(r^2\Omega_n)/\partial r, k_\theta = \frac{m}{r},$$

$$\delta_{lmn} = \frac{k_l k_m v_{Tn}^2}{\omega_n \omega_{\nu n}}, \delta_{\perp n} = \delta_{rrn} + \delta_{\theta\theta n}, \delta_{||n} = \delta_{zzn}, \delta_n = \delta_{\perp n} + \delta_{||n},$$

where $l, m = r, \theta, z$.

APPENDIX B

Solutions for $\mathbf{v}_{j1k}$ and n_{j1k}

The Fourier components $\mathbf{v}_{j1k}$ and n_{j1k} are:

$$\begin{aligned} D_j v_{j1r} &= iF_{j1r}\omega_{\nu j}\left(1-\delta_{\theta\theta j}-\delta_{||j}\right) + iF_{j1\theta}\left[\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{r\theta j} + i\omega_{j1}\left(1-\delta_{||j}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + iF_{j1z}\left(\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{rz\perp j} + i\omega_{j1}\delta_{\theta z\perp j}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_j v_{j1\theta} &= iF_{j1r}\left[\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{r\theta j} - i\omega_{j2}\left(1-\delta_{||j}\right)\right] + iF_{j1\theta}\omega_{\nu j}\left(1-\delta_{rrj}-\delta_{||j}\right) \\ &\quad + iF_{j1z}\left(\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{\theta z\perp j} - i\omega_{j2}\delta_{rz\perp j}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_j v_{j1z} &= iF_{j1r}\left(\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{rz||j} - i\omega_{j2}\delta_{\theta z||j}\right) + iF_{j1\theta}\left(\omega_{\nu j}\delta_{\theta z||j} + i\omega_{j1}\delta_{rz||j}\right) \\ &\quad + iF_{j1z}\left[\omega_{\nu j}(1-\delta_{\perp j}) - \frac{\omega_{j1}\omega_{j2}}{\omega_{\nu j}} - i(\omega_{j1}-\omega_{j2})\delta_{r\theta j}\right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\omega_j}{\omega_{\nu j}} D_j \frac{n_{j1}}{n_{j0}} = iF_{j1r}\left(k_r - i\frac{\omega_{j2}}{\omega_{\nu j}}k_\theta\right) + iF_{j1\theta}\left(k_\theta + i\frac{\omega_{j1}}{\omega_{\nu j}}k_r\right) + iF_{j1z}\left(k_z - \frac{\omega_{j1}\omega_{j2}}{\omega_{\nu j}^2}k_z\right).$$

The following notations are introduced here:

$$D_j = \omega_{\nu j}^2\left(1-\delta_{\perp j}-\delta_{||j}\right) - \omega_{j1}\omega_{j2}\left(1-\delta_{||j}\right) - i\omega_{\nu j}\left(\omega_{j1}-\omega_{j2}\right)\delta_{r\theta j},$$

$$F_{j1r} = G_{j1r} + Q_{j1r} = \frac{q_j}{m_j}E_{1r}\left(1 - n_\theta\frac{v_{j0\theta}}{c}\right) + \frac{q_j}{m_j}E_{1\theta}n_r\frac{v_{j0\theta}}{c} + Q_{j1r},$$

$$F_{j1\theta} = G_{j1\theta} + Q_{j1\theta} = \frac{q_j}{m_j}E_{1\theta}\left(1 - n_r\frac{v_{j0r}}{c}\right) + \frac{q_j}{m_j}E_{1r}n_\theta\frac{v_{j0r}}{c} + Q_{j1\theta},$$

$$F_{j1z} = G_{j1z} + Q_{j1z} = \frac{q_j}{m_j} E_{1z} \frac{\omega_j}{\omega} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{v}_{j0} \frac{n_z}{c} + Q_{j1z}.$$

$$\omega_{\nu j} = \omega_j + i\nu_j, \omega_j = \omega - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{v}_{j0},$$

$$\omega_{j1} = \omega_{cj} + 2\Omega_j, \omega_{j2} = \omega_{cj} + \kappa_j^2/2\Omega_j,$$

$$\Omega_j = \frac{v_{j0\theta}}{r}, \kappa_j^2 = (2\Omega_j/r)\partial(r^2\Omega_j)/\partial r,$$

$$\delta_{lmj} = \frac{k_l k_m v_{\perp j}^2}{\omega_j \omega_{\nu j}}, \delta_{lz\perp j} = \frac{k_l k_z v_{\perp j}^2}{\omega_j \omega_{\nu j}}, \delta_{lz||j} = \frac{k_l k_z v_{||j}^2}{\omega_j \omega_{\nu j}}, \delta_{\perp j} = \delta_{rrj} + \delta_{\theta\theta j}, \delta_{||j} = \frac{k_z^2 v_{||j}^2}{\omega_j \omega_{\nu j}},$$

where $l, m = r, \theta$ and

$$v_{\perp j}^2 = \frac{\gamma_{\perp j} T_{\perp 0j}}{m_j}, v_{||j}^2 = \frac{\gamma_{||j} T_{||0j}}{m_j}.$$

Here $T_{\perp 0j}$ and $T_{||0j}$ are the unperturbed temperatures of species j across ($\perp$) and along ($||$) the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$.

APPENDIX C

The system of equations for perturbations of ions and dust grains

Solutions given in the Appendix B at taking into account collisions of neutrals with ions and dust grains simultaneously and neglecting the thermal effects, result in the following system of equations for perturbations of ions and dust grains:

$$D_i v_{i1r} + a_{dr} v_{d1r} + a_{d\theta 1} v_{d1\theta} - b_{dr} \frac{n_{d1}}{n_{d0}} = -H_{i1r},$$

$$D_i v_{i1\theta} - a_{d\theta 2} v_{d1r} + a_{dr} v_{d1\theta} - b_{d\theta} \frac{n_{d1}}{n_{d0}} = -H_{i1\theta},$$

$$\omega_{\nu i}^2 v_{i1z} + a_{dr} v_{d1z} = iG_{i1z}\omega_{\nu i},$$

$$\left(k_r a_{dr} - k_\theta a_{d\theta 2}\right) v_{d1r} + \left(k_\theta a_{dr} + k_r a_{d\theta 1}\right) v_{d1\theta} + \omega_i D_i \frac{n_{i1}}{n_{i0}} - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}_d \frac{n_{d1}}{n_{d0}} = -\mathbf{k}\mathbf{H}_{i1}, \tag{C1}$$

$$D_d v_{d1r} + a_{ir} v_{i1r} + a_{i\theta 1} v_{i1\theta} - b_{ir} \frac{n_{i1}}{n_{i0}} = -H_{d1r},$$

$$D_d v_{d1\theta} - a_{i\theta 2} v_{i1r} + a_{ir} v_{i1\theta} - b_{i\theta} \frac{n_{i1}}{n_{i0}} = -H_{d1\theta},$$

$$\omega_{\nu d}^2 v_{d1z} + a_{ir} v_{i1z} = iG_{d1z}\omega_{\nu d},$$

$$\left(k_r a_{ir} - k_\theta a_{i\theta 2}\right) v_{i1r} + \left(k_\theta a_{ir} + k_r a_{i\theta 1}\right) v_{i1\theta} + \omega_d D_d \frac{n_{d1}}{n_{d0}} - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}_i \frac{n_{i1}}{n_{i0}} = -\mathbf{k}\mathbf{H}_{d1}. \tag{C2}$$

Here $D_{i,d} = \omega^2_{\nu i,d} - \omega_{i,d1}\omega_{i,d2}$. The following notations are introduced above:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{i1r} &= -i\omega_{\nu i}G_{i1r} + \omega_{i1}G_{i1\theta}, H_{i1\theta} = -\omega_{i2}G_{i1r} - i\omega_{\nu i}G_{i1\theta},\\
H_{d1r} &= -i\omega_{\nu d}G_{d1r} + \omega_{d1}G_{d1\theta}, H_{d1\theta} = -\omega_{d2}G_{d1r} - i\omega_{\nu d}G_{d1\theta}.\\
H_{i1z} &= -i\frac{D_i}{\omega_{\nu i}}G_{i1z} + a_{dr}\frac{D_i}{\omega^2_{\nu i}}v_{d1z}, H_{d1z} = -i\frac{D_d}{\omega_{\nu d}}G_{d1z} + a_{ir}\frac{D_d}{\omega^2_{\nu d}}v_{i1z}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ir} &= \omega_{\nu d}\mu_i, a_{i\theta 1} = i\omega_{d1}\mu_i, a_{i\theta 2} = i\omega_{d2}\mu_i, b_{ir} = -a_{ir}w_r - a_{i\theta 1}w_\theta, b_{i\theta} = -a_{ir}w_\theta + a_{i\theta 2}w_r,\\
a_{dr} &= \omega_{\nu i}\mu_d, a_{d\theta 1} = i\omega_{i1}\mu_d, a_{d\theta 2} = i\omega_{i2}\mu_d, b_{dr} = a_{dr}w_r + a_{d\theta 1}w_\theta, b_{d\theta} = a_{dr}w_\theta - a_{d\theta 2}w_r,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_{i0} - v_{d0}$ and

$$\mu_i = \frac{\nu^0_{dn}\nu^0_{ni}}{\omega_{\nu n}}, \mu_d = \frac{\nu^0_{in}\nu^0_{nd}}{\omega_{\nu n}}.$$

APPENDIX D

Solutions of the system of equations given in Appendix C in the case $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$

The exact solutions of the system of equations given in the Appendix C for $v_{i1r,\theta}$ in the case $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Av_{i1r} &= -\left(\omega_{\nu d}\lambda_\omega + i\omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d1}\omega_{d2}\right)G_{i1r} - \left(D_d\omega_{i1} + \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{d1}\right)G_{i1\theta}\\
&\quad +\mu_d\left(\lambda_\omega - i\omega_{i1}\omega_{d2}\right)G_{d1r} + \mu_d\left(\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{i1} + \omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d1}\right)G_{d1\theta}\\
&\quad -\mu_i\mu_d\omega^{-1}D_i^{-1}\left[w_r\left(\omega_{\nu i}\omega_{\nu d} - \mu_i\mu_d + \omega_{i1}\omega_{d2}\right) + iw_\theta\left(\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{i1} + \omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d1}\right)\right]k_zH_{i1z}\\
&\quad - \mu_d\omega^{-1}D_d^{-1}\left[w_r\left(D_d\omega_{\nu i} - \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{\nu d}\right) + iw_\theta\left(D_d\omega_{i1} + \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{d1}\right)\right]k_zH_{d1z},
\end{aligned}\tag{D1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Av_{i1\theta} &= \left(D_d\omega_{i2} + \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{d2}\right)G_{i1r} - \left(\omega_{\nu d}\lambda_\omega + i\omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d1}\omega_{d2}\right)G_{i1\theta}\\
&\quad -\mu_d\left(\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{i2} + \omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d2}\right)G_{d1r} + \mu_d\left(\lambda_\omega - i\omega_{i2}\omega_{d1}\right)G_{d1\theta}\\
&\quad -\mu_i\mu_d\omega^{-1}D_i^{-1}\left[-iw_r\left(\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{i2} + \omega_{\nu i}\omega_{d2}\right) + w_\theta\left(\omega_{\nu i}\omega_{\nu d} - \mu_i\mu_d + \omega_{i2}\omega_{d1}\right)\right]k_zH_{i1z}\\
&\quad - \mu_d\omega^{-1}D_d^{-1}\left[-iw_r\left(D_d\omega_{i2} + \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{d2}\right) + w_\theta\left(D_d\omega_{\nu i} - \mu_i\mu_d\omega_{\nu d}\right)\right]k_zH_{d1z},
\end{aligned}\tag{D2}$$

where the value λ_ω is equal to

$$i\lambda_\omega = \omega_{\nu i}\omega_{\nu d} - \mu_i\mu_d.$$

The value A (the determinant of the system is equal to $A\omega^2 D_i^2 D_d^2$) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\lambda_\omega^2 - \omega_{\nu d}^2\omega_{i1}\omega_{i2} - \omega_{\nu i}^2\omega_{d1}\omega_{d2} + \omega_{i1}\omega_{i2}\omega_{d1}\omega_{d2} \\ &\quad -\mu_i\mu_d\left(\omega_{i2}\omega_{d1} + \omega_{i1}\omega_{d2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{D3}$$

The solutions for $v_{d1r,\theta}$ are obtained from Eqs. (D.1) and (D.2) under substitution the index i by the index d, and vice versa.

The solutions for the velocities v_{i1z} and v_{d1z} are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\omega v_{i1z} &= \omega_{\nu d} G_{i1z} - \mu_d G_{d1z}, \\ \lambda_\omega v_{d1z} &= \omega_{\nu i} G_{d1z} - \mu_i G_{i1z}. \end{aligned} \tag{D4}$$

APPENDIX E

General solutions for the perturbed electron velocity in the case $k_z \neq 0$, $k_r = k_\theta = 0$

From the solutions given in the Appendix B, we obtain the following expressions for the perturbed velocities of the cold electrons:

$$D_e v_{e1r} = -H_{e1r} - \mu_{ei}\omega_{\nu e} v_{i1r} - i\mu_{ei}\omega_{e1} v_{i1\theta} - \mu_{ed}\omega_{\nu e} v_{d1r} - i\mu_{ed}\omega_{e1} v_{d1\theta},$$

$$D_e v_{e1\theta} = -H_{e1\theta} + i\mu_{ei}\omega_{e2} v_{i1r} - \mu_{ei}\omega_{\nu e} v_{i1\theta} + i\mu_{ed}\omega_{e2} v_{d1r} - \mu_{ed}\omega_{\nu e} v_{d1\theta},$$

$$v_{e1z} = \frac{i}{\omega_{\nu e}} G_{e1z} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu e}\lambda_\omega}\left[\left(\mu_i\mu_{ed} - \omega_{\nu d}\mu_{ei}\right) G_{i1z} + \left(\mu_d\mu_{ei} - \omega_{\nu i}\mu_{ed}\right) G_{d1z}\right],$$

where

$$\mu_{ei} = \frac{\nu_{en}^0 \nu_{ni}^0}{\omega_{\nu n}}, \mu_{ed} = \frac{\nu_{en}^0 \nu_{nd}^0}{\omega_{\nu n}}.$$

APPENDIX F

Values $W_{rr,\theta}$, $W_{\theta r,\theta}$, $W_{zrr,\theta,z}$, $W_{z\theta r,\theta,z}$, and $W_{zzr,\theta,z}$ in the general form

Values $W_{rr,\theta}$ and $W_{\theta r,\theta}$ in the general form are the following:

$$W_{rr} = W_{\theta\theta} = \lambda_\omega\left(q_i n_{i0}\omega_{\nu d} - q_d n_{d0}\mu_i\right) + q_e n_{e0}\frac{\eta_\omega}{D_e}\alpha_{r1} + q_e n_{e0} i\omega_{\nu e}\frac{\omega_{ce}}{D_e\omega_{ci}}\triangle,$$

$$W_{r\theta} = -W_{\theta r} = \omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ci}\left(q_i n_{i0}\omega_{\nu d} - q_d n_{d0}\mu_i\right) + q_e n_{e0}\frac{\eta_\omega}{D_e}\alpha_{r2} - q_e n_{e0}\frac{\omega_{ce}^2}{D_e\omega_{ci}}\triangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{r1} &= \lambda_\omega \omega_{\nu e} - i\omega_{\nu d}\omega_{ce}\omega_{ci},\\ \alpha_{r2} &= i\lambda_\omega \omega_{ce} + \omega_{\nu d}\omega_{\nu e}\omega_{ci}.\end{aligned}$$

The electron terms proportional to $\alpha_{r1,2}$ are connected with the influence of the neutral-ion and neutral-dust collisions on the collisions of electrons with neutrals.

Values $W_{zrr,\theta,z}$, $W_{z\theta r,\theta,z}$, and $W_{zzr,\theta,z}$ in the general form are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}W_{zrr} &= \beta_1 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2}\left(ib_{rz}v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}^2\right), W_{zr\theta} = \beta_1 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2}\left(ib_{rz}v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0r}v_{e0\theta}\right), W_{zrz} = \beta_1 \frac{n_z}{c}\left(ib_{rz} - v_{e0r}\right),\\ W_{z\theta r} &= \beta_1 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2}\left(ib_{\theta z}v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}v_{e0\theta}\right), W_{z\theta\theta} = \beta_1 \frac{n_z^2}{c^2}\left(ib_{\theta z}v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta}^2\right), W_{z\theta z} = \beta_1 \frac{n_z}{c}\left(ib_{\theta z} - v_{e0\theta}\right),\\ W_{zzr} &= \beta_1 \frac{n_z}{c}\left(ib_{zz}v_{i0r} - v_{e0r}\right), W_{zz\theta} = \beta_1 \frac{n_z}{c}\left(ib_{zz}v_{i0\theta} - v_{e0\theta}\right), W_{zzz} = \beta_1\left(ib_{zz} - 1\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi i}\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{\nu e}},\\ b_{r,\theta,zz} &= \frac{\omega_{\nu e}s_{r,\theta,zz}}{\lambda_\omega}\frac{\omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ce}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}s_{rz} &= \frac{1}{q_e n_{e0}}\left(q_i n_{i0}\omega_{\nu d} - q_d n_{d0}\mu_i\right)v_{i0r} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{D_e\omega_{\nu e}}\left(\omega_{\nu e}\varepsilon_{er} + D_e v_{e0r}\right),\\ s_{\theta z} &= \frac{1}{q_e n_{e0}}\left(q_i n_{i0}\omega_{\nu d} - q_d n_{d0}\mu_i\right)v_{i0\theta} + \frac{\eta_\omega}{D_e\omega_{\nu e}}\left(\omega_{\nu e}\varepsilon_{e\theta} + D_e v_{e0\theta}\right),\\ s_{zz} &= \frac{1}{q_e n_{e0}}\left(q_i n_{i0}\omega_{\nu d} - q_d n_{d0}\mu_i\right) + \frac{\eta_\omega}{\omega_{\nu e}}.\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

Adachi, I., Hayashi, C., & Nakazawa, K. 1976, Prog. Theor. Phys., 56, 1756

Balbus, S. A., & Terquem, C. 2001, ApJ, 552, 235

Barge, P., & Sommeria, J. 1995, A&A, 295, L1

Barkan, A., D'Angelo, N., & Merlino, R. L. 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 3093

Beckwith, S. V. W., & Sargent, A. I. 1996, Nature, 383, 139

Bergin, E. A., Plume, R., Williams, J. P., & Myers, P. C. 1999, ApJ, 512, 724

Besla, G., & Wu, Y. 2007, ApJ, 655, 528

Blaes, O. M., & Balbus, S. A. 1994, ApJ, 421, 163

Carr, J. S., Tokunaga, A. T., & Najita, J. 2004, ApJ, 603, 213

Chew, G. F., Goldberger, M. L., & Low, F. E. 1956, Proc. R. Soc. London, A 236, 112

Chow, V. W., Mendis, D. A., & Rosenberg, M. 1993, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 19065

Cuzzi, J. N., Dobrovolskis, A. R., & Champney, J. M. 1993, Icarus, 106, 102

Desch, S. J. 2004, ApJ, 608, 509

Donati, J.-F., Paletou, F., Bouvier, J., & Ferreira, J. 2005, Nature, 438, 466

Draine, B. T., Roberge, W. G., and Dalgarno, A. 1983, ApJ, 264, 485

Ellis, T. A., & Neff, J. S. 1991, Icarus, 91, 280

Fisher, D., & Duerbeck, H. 1998, Hubble Revisited (Springer, Berlin), p. 213

Fortov, V. E., Nefedov, A. P., Vaulina, O. S. et al. 1998, J. Exp. Theor. Phys., 87, 1087

Goertz, C. K. 1989, Rev. Geophys., 27, 271

Goldreich, P., & Ward, W. R. 1973, ApJ, 183, 1051

Hartmann, L. 2000, Space Sci. Rev., 92, 55

Havnes, O., Brattli, A., Aslaksen, T. et al. 2001, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1419

Havnes, O., Trøim, J., Blix, T., Mortensen, W., Naesheim, L. I., Thrane, E., & Tønnesen, T. 1996, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 10839

Hayashi, C. 1981, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys., 70, 3

Hayashi, C., Nakazawa, K., & Nakagawa, Y. 1985, in Protostars and Planets II, ed. D. C. Black & M. S. Matthews (Tucson: Univ Arizona Press), p. 1100

Hersant, F., Dubrulle, B., & Huré, J.-M. 2005, A&A, 429, 531

Horányi, M. 1996, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 34, 383

Horányi, M., & Goertz, C. K. 1990, ApJ, 361, 155

Hutawarakorn, B., & Cohen, R. J. 1999, MNRAS, 303, 845

———. 2005, MNRAS, 357, 338

Ilgner, M., & Nelson, R. P. 2008, A&A, 483, 815

Isella, A., Testi, L., & Natta, A. 2006, A&A, 451, 951

Jin, L. 1996, ApJ, 457, 798

Johansen, A., Andersen, A., & Brandenburg, A. 2004, A&A, 417, 361

Klahr, H. H., & Bodenheimer, P. 2003, ApJ, 582, 869

Li, P. S., McKee, C. F., Klein, R. I., & Fisher, R. T. 2008, ApJ, 684, 380

Lovelace, R., Li, H., Colgate, S., & Nelson, A. 1999, ApJ, 513, 805

Matthews, L. S., Hayes, R. L., Freed, M. S., & Hyde, T. W. 2007, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., 35, 260

Melzer, A., Trottenberg, T., & Piel, A. 1994, Phys. Lett. A, 191, 301

Mendis, D. A., & Rosenberg, M. 1994, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 32**,** 419

Merlino, R. L., & Goree, J. A. 2004, Phys. Today, 57, 32

Meyer-Vernet, N. 1982, A&A, 105, 98

Nekrasov, A. K. 2007, Phys. Plasmas, 14, 062107

———. 2008, Phys. Plasmas, 15**,** 032907

Norman, C., & Heyvaerts, J. 1985, A&A, 147, 247

Petersen, M. R., Julien, K., & Stewart, G. R. 2007 a, ApJ, 658, 1236

Pinte, C., Fouchet, L., Ménard, F., Gonzalez, J.-F., & Duchêne, G. 2007, A&A, 469, 963

Pinto, C., Galli, D., & Bacciotti, F. 2008, A&A, 484, 1

Quanz, S. P., Apai, D., & Henning, T. 2007, ApJ, 656, 287

Rotundi, A., Rietmeijer, F. J. M., Brucato, J. R. et al. 2000, Planet. Space Sci., 48, 371

Safronov, V. S. 1969, Evolution of the Protoplanetary Cloud and Formation of the Earth and the Planets (Moscow; Nauka)

Spitzer, Jr., L. 1978, Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium. New York: Wiley

Thomas, H., Morfill, G. E., Demmel, V., Goree, J., Feuerbacher, G., & Mölmann, D. 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 652

Tscharnuter, W. M., & Gail, H.-P. 2007, A&A, 463, 369

Wardle, M., & Ng, C. 1999, MNRAS, 303, 239

Weidenschilling, S. J. 1995, Icarus, 116, 433

Whipple, E. C. 1981, Rep. Prog. Phys., 44, 1197

Yamoto, F., & Sekiya, M. 2004, Icarus, 170, 180

Youdin, A. N., & Goodman, J. 2005, ApJ, 620, 459